

On the quantum cohomology of the plane, old and new

Z. Ran

Abstract

We describe a method for counting maps of curves of given genus (and variable moduli) to $\mathbb{P}^2$, essentially by splitting the $\mathbb{P}^2$ in two; then specialising to the case of genus 0 we show that the method of quantum cohomology may be viewed as the 'mirror' of the former method where one splits the $\mathbb{P}^1$ rather than the $\mathbb{P}^2$, and we indicate a proof of the associativity of quantum multiplication based on this idea.

Recent work on Mirror Symmetry and Quantum Cohomology has contributed to a revival of interest in problems of a classical nature in Enumerative Geometry (cf. [F] and references therein). These problems involve (holomorphic) maps

$$(1) \quad f : C \rightarrow X$$

where X is a fixed variety and C is a compact Riemann surface whose moduli are sometimes fixed ('Gromov-Witten') but here will not be, unless otherwise stated. While the case $\dim X = 1$ is not entirely without interest (cf. [D]), the problem begins in earnest with $\dim X = 2$ and naturally the simplest such X is $\mathbb{P}^2$. Here the problem specifically is to count the images $f(C)$ of maps (1) where C has genus g , $f(C)$ has degree d and passes through $3d + g - 1$ fixed points in $\mathbb{P}^2$. This problem has already, in essence, been solved in the author's earlier paper [R] by means of a recursive method (we note however that the formula in [R], (3c.1), (3c.3) is trivially misstated and the factor $c(\tilde{K}_1, \tilde{K}_2)$ should not be present).

Our purpose here is twofold. In Sect.1 we give a partial exposition of the method of [R] and illustrate it on a couple of new examples, namely the curves of degree d and genus $g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - 2$ (i.e. with 2 nodes); and the rational quartics. We recover classical formulae due, respectively, to Roberts [Ro] and Zeuthen [Z]. Hopefully, this will help make the method of [R] more accessible. In Sect.2 we show that the method of Kontsevich et al., at least as exposed in [F], may be viewed as none other than the 'dual' of that of [R] for the case of rational curves, 'dual' meaning 'interchanging source and target'; in particular, we sketch a proof from

this viewpoint of the associativity of quantum multiplication.

This paper owes its existence to the unfailing encouragement of Bill Fulton, who believed all along in [R]; it is indeed a pleasure to thank him here.

1.Old.

We find it technically convenient here to work with possibly reducible curves; the modifications or 'correction terms' needed to treat the irreducible case are a routine matter.

Consider the locus $V_{d,\delta}$ of (not necessarily irreducible) curves of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^2$ having δ ordinary nodes. This is well known to be a smooth locally closed subvariety of pure codimension δ in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{d+2}{2}-1}$ and we are interested in its degree as such, which may be interpreted as the number of curves of $V_{d,\delta}$ passing through $\binom{d+2}{2} - \delta - 1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, a number which we denote by $N_{d,\delta}$. The idea is to get at $N_{d,\delta}$ by a recursive procedure, based on specializing $\mathbb{P}^2$ to a surface (called a 'fan')

$$S_0 = S_1 \cup S_2$$

where $S_1 = Bl_0(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (the 'bottom' component), $S_2 = \mathbb{P}^2$ (the 'top' component) and $E = S_1 \cap S_2$ (the 'axis') embedded in S_i with self-intersection $2i - 3, i = 1, 2$. Corresponding to this is a specialization

$$(2) \quad V_{d,\delta} \rightarrow \sum m(\pi) V_{(d,e),(\delta_1,\delta_2),\pi},$$

where $V_{(d,e),(\delta_1,\delta_2),\pi}$ is a family of Cartier divisors on S_0 whose general member C_0 may be described as follows:

- $C_0 = C_1 \cup C_2$,
- $C_1 \in |dH - eE|_{S_1}, C_2 \in |eE|_{S_2}$ nodal curves with δ_1 (resp. δ_2) nodes, smooth near E ,
- the divisor $D = C_1.E = C_2.E$ has shape π , i.e. π is a partition having ℓ_i blocks of size i (to be written as $\pi = [\ell_i]$) and $D = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_i} iQ_{ij}, Q_{ij} \in E$ distinct.

Moreover $m(\pi) = \prod_1^r i^{\ell_i}$ and the sum is extended over all data $((d,e),(\delta_1,\delta_2),\pi)$ satisfying

$$(3) \quad \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^r (i-1)\ell_i = \delta$$

(i.e. each i-tacnode iQ_{ij} ‘counts as $i - 1$ nodes’).

Now to apply the specialization (2) to the degree question, we specialize our point set on $\mathbb{P}^2$ to a collection of points on S_0 , which a priori we may distribute at will among S_1 and S_2 , with each distribution giving rise to some formula which, however, may or may not be usable. For the purpose of the present discussion we will make the important simplifying assumption

$$\delta < d,$$

and put $d + 1$ points on S_1 and the remaining $\binom{d+1}{2} - 1 - \delta$ on S_2 . It is then easy to see that the only limit components V that will contribute to the resulting formula will be ones with

$$e = d - 1.$$

For those, we can write

$$(4) \quad C_1 = C_{1,0} + \sum_{i=1}^{\delta_1} R_i$$

with $C_{1,0}$ a smooth (rational) curve of ‘type’ $(d - \delta_1, d - \delta_1 - 1)$ (i.e. $C_{1,0} \in |(d - \delta_1)H - (d - \delta_1 - 1)E|$) and R_i distinct rulings.

Now let us say that a partition $\pi' = [\ell'_i] \leq \pi = [\ell_i]$ if $\ell'_i \leq \ell_i \forall i$, in which case we may define the complementary partition $\pi - \pi' = [\ell_i - \ell'_i]$; also put $|\pi| = \sum i\ell_i$, $s(\pi) = \sum \ell_i$, $n(\pi) = \frac{s(\pi)!}{\ell_1! \dots \ell_r!}$. Counting the degree of a limit component $V_1 = \{C_1 \cup C_2\}$ in terms of those of $\{C_1\}$ and $\{C_2\}$ is basically a matter of decomposing the ‘diagonal’ condition $C_1.E = C_2.E$ correspondingly to the standard Kunneth decomposition of the diagonal class on the product of $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_i}$ with itself; this leads to a sum of conditions corresponding to partitions $\pi' \leq \pi$, each amounting to fixing the location on E of a portion D' of $C_1.E$ corresponding to π' and the complementary portion D'' of $C_2.E$ corresponding to $\pi - \pi'$. The resulting formula is as follows.

$$(5) \quad N_{d,\delta} = \sum_{|\pi|=d-1} m(\pi) \sum_{\substack{\pi'=[\ell'_i] \\ \leq \pi=[\ell_i]}} m(\pi - \pi') n(\pi - \pi') N_{d-1, \delta - s(\pi - \pi') + s(\pi) - d + 1, \pi - \pi', \pi'} \\ \times \sum_{j=0}^{\ell'_1} \binom{\ell'_1}{j} \binom{d+1}{s(\pi - \pi') - j}.$$

Here $N_{e,\delta_2,\pi'',\pi'}$ denotes the degree of the locus of nodal curves of degree e with δ_2 nodes meeting a fixed line E in a fixed divisor of shape π'' plus a divisor of shape

π' . We have used the fact that $\delta_1 = s(\pi - \pi')$, which comes from the observation that the number of ‘axis’ conditions on the bottom curve C_1 , i.e. $|\pi| - s(\pi - \pi') = d - 1 - s(\pi - \pi')$, plus the number of ‘interior’ points imposed, i.e. $d + 1$, must equal the dimension of the family (4), i.e. $2d - \delta_1$. Also, the factor $m(\pi - \pi')n(\pi - \pi')$ is simply the degree of the ‘discriminant’ variety of divisors of shape $\pi - \pi'$ on $E = \mathbb{P}^1$, while the binomial factors correspond to letting j of the rulings go through some of the multiplicity -1 part of D' with the remaining $\delta_1 - j$ going through some of the $d + 1$ interior points.

Now of course in general the formula (5) is not by itself sufficient as one needs a recursive formula starting and ending with the $N_{e,\delta_2,\pi'',\pi'}$ or something similar. Such a formula is indeed given in [R], and it is not our purpose to reproduce it here. In the examples worked out below the necessary further recursion is relatively straightforward, and will be indicated.

Example 1. $N_{d,2}$

There are seven relevant limit components and we proceed to list them and their contributions.

- A. $V_{(d,d-1),(0,2),[d-1]}$; multiplicity $m = 1$; contribution $N_{d-1,2}$
- B. $V_{(d,d-1),(1,1),[d-1]}$; $m = 1$. As $\delta_1 = 1$ we must take $\pi' = [d - 2]$, $\pi - \pi' = [1]$ so $j = 0$ or 1 and the contribution is $(d + 1 + d - 2) \cdot N_{d-1,1,[1],[d-2]} = 3(2d - 1)(d - 2)^2$.
- C. $V_{(d,d-1),(2,0),[d-1]}$; $m = 1$; $\pi' = [d - 3]$; $j = 0, 1, 2$, contribution $= \left(\binom{d-3}{2} + (d - 3)(d + 1) + \binom{d+1}{2}\right) \cdot N_{d-1,0,[2],[d-3]} = 2d^2 - 5d + 3$.
- D. $V_{(d,d-1),(0,1),[d-3,1]}$; $m = 2$, $\delta_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \pi' = \pi$, so contribution is $2N_{d-1,1,0,[d-3,1]}$. By an easier but simpler recursion (involving 1 node and 1 tangency), the latter evaluates to $12(d - 1)(d - 2)(d - 3)$.
- E. $V_{(d,d-1),(1,0),[d-3,1]}$; $m = 2$. $\pi' = [d - 3]$ or $[d - 4, 1]$, contribution $= 8(d - 1)(d - 3)$.
- F. $V_{(d,d-1),(0,0),[d-4,0,1]}$, $m = 3$, $\pi' = \pi$, contribution $9d - 27$.
- G. $V_{(d,d-1),(0,0),[d-5,2]}$, $m = 4$, $\pi' = \pi$, contribution 4.4. $\binom{d-3}{2} = 9d^2 - 56d + 96$.

Summing up, we get

$$N_{d,2} = N_{d-1,2} + 18d^3 - 81d^2 + 84d + 12$$

Moreover it is easy to see that $N_{3,2} = \binom{7}{2} = 21$ so by integrating we get

$$N_{d,2} = \frac{9}{2}d^4 - 18d^3 + 6d^2 + \frac{81}{2}d - 33.$$

This is a classical formula due to S. Roberts [Ro], which has been given modern treatment by I. Vainsencher [V]. Note that the curves are automatically irreducible if $d \geq 4$.

Example 2. $N_{4,3}$

Here we have seven limit components.

A. $V_{(4,3),(0,3),[3]}, m = 1$, contribution 15.

B. $V_{(4,3),(1,2),[3]}, m = 1$ contribution $21.7 = 147$.

C. $V_{(4,3),(2,1),[3]}, m = 1$, contribution $15N_{3,1,[2],[1]} = 180$.

D. $V_{(4,3),(3,0),[3]}, m = 1$, contribution $\binom{5}{2} = 10$.

E. $V_{(4,3),(1,1),[1,1]}, m = 2, \pi' = [1]$ or $[0, 1]$. Contribution $2.2N_{3,1,[0,1],[1]} + 2.5.N_{3,1,[1],[0,1]}$.

By a similar but simpler recursion the latter N 's evaluate respectively to 10, 16, so the total contribution is 200.

F. $V_{(4,3),(0,2),[1,1]}, m = 2, \pi = \pi' = [1, 1]$, contribution $2.15.2 = 60$.

G. $V_{(4,3),(0,1),[0,0,1]}, m = 3, \pi = \pi' = [0, 0, 1]$, contribution $3.N_{3,1,[0],[0,0,1]}$. By a similar but simpler recursion, the latter N is 21, so the contribution is 63.

Summing up, we get

$$N_{4,3} = 675 = 5^2.3^3.$$

As the $\{\text{cubic} + \text{line}\}$ locus clearly has degree $\binom{11}{2} = 55$, we obtain 620 as the number of irreducible rational quartics through 11 points. (cf. [Z]).

2.New.

The new approach works for maps from a fixed curve C , say to $\mathbb{P}^2$. For simplicity we will assume $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. Considering rational curves of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^2$ amounts to considering curves of bidegree $(1, d)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, and the old method to count them is by specialising the $\mathbb{P}^2$ factor to a fan; the new approach on the other hand is to specialise the $\mathbb{P}^1$ factor to a '1-dimensional fan', i.e. to

$$C = C + C - C = \mathbb{P}^1, C \cap C = \{x\}$$

Because $\mathbb{P}^1$ is simpler than $\mathbb{P}^2$ this approach works better in this case; on the other hand it is apparently unknown how to make it work when the source curve is allowed to vary with moduli.

To be precise, fix a pair of points y_1, y_2 and a pair of lines L_3, L_4 in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and 4 points $x_1, \dots, x_4 \in \mathbb{P}^1 = C$ and consider curves of bidegree $(1, d)$ in $C \times \mathbb{P}^2$ containing $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ and meeting $x_3 \times L_3, x_4 \times L_4$, as well as a further collection of $3d - 4$ 'horizontal' lines $C \times z_j$. We then specialise this to $C_0 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ in two ways: (A) $x_1, \dots, x_4$ specialise to $x_{1,1}, x_{2,1} \in C_1, x_{3,2}, x_{4,2} \in C_2$; (B) x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4 specialise to $x_{1,1}, x_{3,1} \in C_1, x_{2,2}, x_{4,2} \in C_2$. In the (A) limit it is possible to have a component of bidegree $(1, 0)$ in $C_2 \times (L_3 \cap L_4)$, while in the (B) limit all curves have bidegrees $(1, d_1) \cup (1, d_2), d_1 + d_2 = d, d_i > 0$. Thus letting n_d denote the number of rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ through $3d - 1$ points, writing $(A) = (B)$ we get an equation of the form

$$n_d + f(n_1, \dots, n_{d-1}) = g(n_1, \dots, n_{d-1})$$

for suitable quadratic expressions f, g , which may be solved for n_d .

Example: $d = 4$.

$$f = \binom{8}{2}.12.1.1.1.3 + \binom{8}{3}.1.1.2.2.4 + 1.1.12.3.3.3 = 2228$$

with the summands corresponding to $d_1 = 3, 2, 1$ and, e.g. in the first product the factors corresponding to: choosing 2 of the 8 points z_j for the image of C_2 to go through; the number of possible images of C_1, C_2, x_3, x_4, x ;

$$g = 8.12.1.3.1.3 + \binom{8}{4}.1.1.2.2.4 + 8.1.12.1.3.3 = 2848$$

$$n_4 = 620.$$

It is not difficult to parlay the above considerations into a general proof of the main property of quantum cohomology, i.e. associativity. We now briefly indicate how to do this, making free use of the notations of [F] (and thus on the substantive level making use of the spaces $\bar{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$, which might possibly be avoided as in the example above). With X being as in [F] we may consider a space $\bar{M}_{0,0;n_1,n_2}(X, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ parametrising maps of C_0 into X with n_i points marked on $C_i, i = 1, 2$, where the image of C_i has class $\beta_i, i = 1, 2$; this coincides with the subvariety of the product

$\bar{M}_{0,n_1+1}(X, \beta_1) \times \bar{M}_{0,n_2+1}(X, \beta_2)$ given by the pullback of the diagonal $\Delta \subset X \times X$ via a suitable projection. Now fixing any decomposition of n as $n_1 + n_2$, a divisor D in the space $\bar{M}_{0,n+4}(X, \beta)$, defined by fixing the position of the first four points, say, may be specialised to the union (with multiplicity 1) of the various $\bar{M}_{0,0;n_1+2,n_2+2}(X, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ (because fixing the position of three points on each component of C_0 is vacuous); using the formula

$$[\Delta] = \sum g^{e,f} T_e \otimes T_f,$$

we conclude:

$$I'_\beta(\gamma^n T_i T_j T_k T_l) = \sum I_{\beta_1}(\gamma^{n_1} T_i T_j T_e) I_{\beta_2}(\gamma^{n_2} T_k T_l T_f) g^{e,f}$$

where the LHS is the appropriate Gromov-Witten integral over D and the sum is over all $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ and all e, f . As the LHS is symmetric in i, j, k, l , this is certainly stronger than the relation (2.9) on p.16 of [F], which is equivalent to associativity.

References

- [D] Dijkgraaf, R: ‘Mirror symmetry and elliptic curves’ (preprint).
- [F] Fulton, W: ‘Enumerative geometry via quantum cohomology’ preprint.
- [R] Ran, Z.: ‘Enumerative geometry of singular plane curves’ *Invent. Math.* **97** (1989), 447-465.
- [Ro] Roberts, S.: ‘Sur l’ordre des conditions ...’ *Crelle’s J.* **67** (1867), 266-278.
- [V] Vainsencher, I.: ‘Counting divisors with prescribed singularities’ *Trans. AMS* **267**(1981), 399-422.
- [Z] Zeuthen, H.G., Pieri, M.: ‘Géométrie Enumerative.’ *Encyc. Sci. Math.* III 2, 260-331. Leipzig: Teubner 1915.